

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virgnia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/089,327	03/27/2002	Katsuyuki Watanabe	WIP008	1305
25271	7590 03/18/2005		EXAMINER	
GALLAGHER & LATHROP, A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 601 CALIFORNIA ST			LEE, EDMUND H	
SUITE 1111	UNIA SI		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
SAN FRANC	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94108			

DATE MAILED: 03/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	-,		
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	Ψ_{\cdot}
	10/089,327	WATANABE ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	EDMUND H. LEE	1732	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appeared for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. - after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be t ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) da will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS froi e, cause the application to become ABANDON	imely filed ays will be considered timely. m the mailing date of this communication. IED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on			
	—· s action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ince except for formal matters, p	rosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	l53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 3-8 is/are pending in the application.		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	wn from consideration.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>3-8</u> is/are rejected.		•	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	tion is required if the drawing(s) is o	bjected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attached Offic	e Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•	
12) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☑ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	n priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).	
1.☐ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		tion No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Burea		3 -	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	red.	
Attachment(s)			
) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summar	y (PTO-413)	
?) D Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail [
l) 区 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>247/04</u> . 3/17 jo ユ	6) Other:		
Patent and Trademati Office		GB	

Application/Control Number: 10/089,327 Page 2

Art Unit: 1732

DETAILED ACTION

1. Figure 1 should be designated by a legend such as --Prior Art-- because only that which is old is illustrated. See MPEP § 608.02(g). Corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. Claims 3-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The phrase "said...liquid-phase state" (cl 3, ln 6) is indefinite because it is confusing and idiomatically incorrect.

The phrase "by forming...resin" (cl 3, lns 6-8) is indefinite because it is unclear how the above phrase results in the formation of the colored region.

Claim 3 is indefinite because performance of the claimed steps does not produce a colored shaped article. All that is formed is one layer.

Claim 4 is indefinite because performance of the claimed steps does not produce a colored shaped article. All that is formed is one layer.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,327 Page 3

Art Unit: 1732

The phrase "by curing" (cl 4, ln 5) is indefinite because it is unclear what is being cured.

The phrase "the contour line" (cl 4, ln 5) lacks antecedent basis in the claim.

The phrase "a liquid-phase region" (cl 4, ln 7) is indefinite because it is unclear whether or not it is related to the curable resin.

The phrase "said colored region" (cl 4, ln 8) lacks antecedent basis in the claim.

The phrase "a prescribed amounts" (cl 6, ln 2) is idiomatically incorrect.

Correction is required.

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 4,5,6, and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Penn et al (USPN 5594652). Penn et al teach the claimed process as evidenced at col 6, lns 55-65 and figs 1-17d.
- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1732

6. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Penn et al (USPN 5594652). In regard to claim 3, Penn et al teach the basic claimed process including a process for producing a colored shaped article comprising a plurality of layers formed by lamination and shaping of a curable resin, wherein at least one layer of the plurality of layer has a first region and a colored region formed by adding a colorant (col 6, Ins 55-65; figs 1-17d); forming the colored region (col 6, Ins 55-65; figs 1-17d); and curing the liquid-phase, curable resin (col 6, Ins 55-65; figs 1-17d). Penn et al. however, do not teach forming the colored region so that it can be recognized from all directions; and forming a non-colored region. In regard to forming the colored region so that it can be recognized from all directions, such is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature of the claimed process. Further, colored portions within a transparent material are well-known in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form a colored region having the claimed design in the process of Penn et al in order to form an aesthetically pleasing article. In regard to forming a non-colored region, such is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature of the claimed process. Further, non-colored molded articles are well-known in the molding art. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill

Art Unit: 1732

in the art at the time the invention was made to form a non-colored region in the article of Penn et al in order to enhance the aesthetic appeal of the article.

- 7. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Penn et al (USPN 5594652). The above teachings of Penn et al are incorporated hereinafter. Penn et al, however, do not teach adding the colorants in the claimed sequence. The claimed sequence is a mere obvious matter of choice dependent on the desired final product and of little patentable consequence to the claimed process since it is not a manipulative feature or step of the claimed process. Further, the claimed sequence is well-known in the molding art in order to achieve a specific color. Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to add the colorants of Penn et al in a specific sequence in order to achieve a specific color.
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. The following US patents illustrate the state of the art: 5278442 and 6165406.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to EDMUND H. LEE whose telephone number is 571.272.1204. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY FROM 9AM-4PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael Colaianni can be reached on 571.272.1196. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/089,327

Art Unit: 1732

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

> EDMUND H. LEE **Primary Examiner**

Art Unit 1732

EHL

Page 6